

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/611,653	WAJSZCZUK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	San-ming Hui	1617

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Christian Smolizza. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____


Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The instant case was transferred back to Pfizer and Mr. Smolizza is the attorney who is in charge of the application. Mr. Smolizza informed the examiner that no reply was filed in response to the outstanding office action mailed September 26, 2006

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Examiner/Representative Signature – if appropriate)